

July 25, 1990
ILAPOL.ssj/CS

Introduced by: Paul Barden

Proposed No.: 90-593

8012

MOTION NO. _____

1

2

3

4

5

A MOTION establishing county policy related to implementation of recent state legislation requiring cooperative planning and interlocal agreements with cities.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

WHEREAS, the 1990 Washington State Legislature enacted several laws which encourage or mandate interlocal cooperation in development of comprehensive land use and transportation plans and which expand regional responsibilities assigned to county governments, and

WHEREAS, the 1990 Growth Management Bill adopted by the Legislature requires counties to designate urban growth areas through consultation with municipalities in the county, and

WHEREAS, King County and the cities within the county are seeking to implement one or more of the new local option transportation revenues authorized by the 1990 Legislature, and

WHEREAS, there is an integral link between land use planning and transportation planning, and

WHEREAS, King County will be reviewing its comprehensive land use plan during 1990 as mandated by the King County Code, and

WHEREAS, the Suburban Cities Association on May 9, 1990 adopted the resolution attached hereto as Exhibit A reiterating the previous role of suburban cities in development of the 1985 comprehensive land use plan and the intent of the cities that the 1985 comprehensive plan policies and principles be long term and unchanging, and

WHEREAS, the May 9, 1990 Suburban Cities Association resolution also establishes city priorities for the 1990 review of the King County comprehensive plan which are consistent with King County's priorities and support expansion of joint planning processes to implement common goals, and

1 WHEREAS, the Suburban Cities Association has identified the
2 following regional goals and policies:

3 Protection and preservation of environmental features and
4 air and water quality;

5 Acquisition of open space and development of parks and
6 recreation opportunities;

7 Conservation of agricultural and forest lands and rural
8 areas;

9 Preservation of significant historic features and
10 buildings;

11 Encouragement of incorporation or annexation of areas
12 within designated urban growth areas;

13 Discouragement of urban growth beyond designated urban
14 growth areas;

15 Provision of housing for all income groups, including low
16 and moderate income households;

17 Provision for urban densities in areas where adequate
18 public facilities and services are in place or will be in place
19 concurrent with development approval;

20 Provision for economic development that meets regional
21 employment needs and a local balance of jobs and housing,
22 and

23 WHEREAS, King County concurs with these regional goals
24 identified by the Suburban Cities Association, and

25 WHEREAS, the 1990 Growth Management Bill requires counties
26 to work with the State of Washington and cities to identify
27 areas of shared need for public facilities, and

28 WHEREAS, King County already utilizes the community
29 planning process and other mechanisms for joint planning as
30 well as interlocal agreements, resolutions of intent and other
31 cooperative working arrangements to coordinate activities of
32 mutual concern;

33 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:

34 It is King County's intent to acknowledge and build upon
35 existing cooperative efforts between the county and the cities

1 in King County. To this end, the council requests the
2 following:

3 A. The executive is requested to work jointly with cities
4 to develop a work program consistent with the provisions of
5 Section 2 of the Suburban Cities Association resolution
6 attached hereto as Exhibit A. The work program and associated
7 budget for those elements of the work program to be
8 accomplished during 1991 are to be provided to the King County
9 council no later than October 1, 1990 for consideration in the
10 King County 1991 budget.

11 B. The King Subregional Council Transition Committee, in
12 coordination with council and interlocal staff, is requested to
13 begin negotiations toward development of model interlocal
14 agreements between King county and the cities in King County to
15 establish mutual intent to accomplish the following
16 interrelated objectives. Those subjects among the following
17 list which are more suitable for direct county-city
18 negotiations should be identified by the Transition Committee
19 for follow-up by the executive.

20 1. Confirmation of existing growth area boundaries
21 incorporated in the 1985 King County comprehensive land use
22 plan (as amended), within which growth areas urban densities
23 will be achieved and outside which rural and resource areas
24 will be preserved.

25 2. Provision of urban services within areas with urban
26 densities;

27 3. Identification of areas of future annexation and
28 provision of urban services by cities in those areas.

29 4. Interlocal coordination of land use and transporta-
30 tion plans.

31 5. Reduction of the use of single occupancy vehicles by
32 implementation of regional transportation demand management
33 strategies.

34 6. Development of reciprocal school and road mitigation
35 fee systems.

Suburban Cities Association

ALGONA
AUBURN
BEAUX ARTS
BELLEVUE
BLACK DIAMOND
BOTHELL
CARNATION
CLYDE HILL
DES MOINES

DUVALL
ENUMCLAW
HUNTS POINT
ISSAQUAM
KENT
KIRKLAND
LAKE FOREST PARK
MEDINA
MERCER ISLAND

NORMANDY
NORTH BEND
PACIFIC
REDMOND
RENTON
SKYKOMISH
SNOQUALMIE
TUKWILA
YARROW POINT

8012

OF KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

A RESOLUTION OF THE SUBURBAN CITIES ASSOCIATION OF KING COUNTY REGARDING PRIORITIES OF THE 1990 REVIEW OF THE KING COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

WHEREAS, the 1985 King County Comprehensive Plan is to be evaluated and updated in 1990 as mandated by the King County Code; and

WHEREAS, the Suburban Cities are significantly affected by the land use policies and decisions of King County; and

WHEREAS, the Suburban Cities were extensively involved in the development of the 1985 Comprehensive Plan, resulting in City support for the final Plan; and

WHEREAS, the plan concept, policies and principles of the 1985 Comprehensive Plan are intended to be long term and unchanging; and

WHEREAS, the Cities are working with King County through joint planning programs and interlocal agreements to implement common goals and policies to:

- o protect and preserve environmental features, and air and water quality;
- o acquire open space and develop parks and recreation opportunities;
- o conserve agricultural and forest lands and rural areas;
- o preserve significant historic features and buildings;
- o encourage incorporation or annexation of areas within designated urban growth areas;
- o discourage urban growth beyond designated urban growth areas;
- o address the need for housing for all income groups, including low and moderate income households;
- o provide for urban densities in areas where adequate public facilities and services are in

place or will be in place concurrent with development approval;

- o provide for economic development that meets regional employment needs and a local balance of jobs and housing, and

WHEREAS, the elected officials and staff of the Suburban Cities intend to assist in the review and update of the Plan and any subsequent implementation program;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

Section 1. The Suburban Cities Association supports the regional policies of the King County Comprehensive Plan and recommends that these policies be retained and strengthened in the 1990 Plan Review.

Section 2. The Suburban Cities Association strongly recommends that King County's work on the 1990 Comprehensive Plan Review be focused on developing a long range implementation program to carry out the following policies of the plan and address critical needs:

1. Clearly establish that the mission of cities is to provide local government services to the urban areas of the County, while the mission of the County must shift away from the provision of local government services and toward the provision of certain regional services.

2. Recognize that annexations and incorporations of urban areas constitute the fulfillment of the identified mission of cities.

3. Discourage the incorporations of multiple new small cities which may hamper the attainment of regional goals.

4. Identify sources of revenues for the County to meet its mission as provider of certain regional services and develop a long range transition plan to deal with the revenue and service impacts on the County of annexations and incorporations.

5. Work with the Cities to establish viable urban growth areas with long term stability, identify a plan for future annexation and incorporation areas through interlocal agreements with each city and by mapping urban growth areas and future annexation and incorporation areas in the Comprehensive Plan and establish a firm County commitment not to permit urban growth beyond the designated urban growth area;

6. Develop a coordinated and balanced transportation system to increase mobility and a variety of travel modes throughout the region in order to discourage the use of single occupancy vehicles;

7. Work with cities to develop joint approaches to linking development approval to adequacy of facilities and services, and joint approaches to assessment of development impact fees that recognize and implement locally defined service standards;

8. Upgrade development standards to protect environmentally sensitive areas, to retain trees and ground cover, and to encourage high quality in commercial and multifamily development;

9. Work jointly with the cities to develop programs to encourage creation and retention of housing for low and moderate income families through inclusionary zoning, incentives and other appropriate methods;

10. Implement a regional approach for sharing information for monitoring land development activity, growth trends and environmental issues;

11. Develop a joint city/county work program to achieve mutual consistency of Comprehensive Plans and address regional issues as mandated by the Growth Management Act of 1990, and submit to the State a request for a portion of the funds that were appropriated by the Act for this purpose.

12. Develop a system/process for resolving inter-jurisdictional disputes on regional goals, service delivery and the funding of capital projects.

Section 3. Copies of this resolution shall be distributed to the King County Executive, the King County Council, the Mayor of Seattle and the Seattle City Council.

Section 4. The Suburban Planning Directors are directed to continue their work in this effort with their respective jurisdictions and are requested to provide timely status reports to the Association for information and action.

RESOLVED this 9th day of May, 1990.

Suburban Cities Association of
King County, Washington

Nancy Mathews
Nancy Mathews, President

Attest:

Don Raybuck
Don Raybuck, Secretary